

# External audit plan

**Year ending 31 March 2022**

London Borough of Brent Pension  
Fund

May 2022



# Contents



## Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

### Ciaran McLaughlin

Key Audit Partner

T 020 7728 2936

E [Ciaran.T.McLaughlin@uk.gt.com](mailto:Ciaran.T.McLaughlin@uk.gt.com)

### Reshma Ravikumar

Audit Manager

T 020 7728 3073

E [Reshma.Ravikumar@uk.gt.com](mailto:Reshma.Ravikumar@uk.gt.com)

### Abisoye Ilaka

Audit In-Charge

T 020 7728 2589

E [Abisoye.O.Ilaka@uk.gt.com](mailto:Abisoye.O.Ilaka@uk.gt.com)

## Section

Key matters  
Introduction and headlines  
Significant risks identified  
Accounting estimates and related disclosures  
Other matters  
Materiality  
IT Strategy  
Audit logistics and team  
Audit fees  
Independence and non-audit services  
Digital Audit

## Page

3  
4  
5  
8  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Pension Fund or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

# Key matters

## Factors

### Pension Fund

The investment assets have continued to rise throughout 2021-22 and the Fund has posted positive returns ending Quarter 3 of 2021-22 with a valuation of £1,155.7m. This was £1,030.7m as at 31 March 2021 and £1,076.2m at the end of Quarter 2 of 2021-22.

On 10 March 2022, the Public Service Pensions and Judicial Offices Act 2022 received Royal Assent. The main purpose of the Act is to support implementation of the McCloud remedy in the public service pension schemes. The McCloud remedy will be implemented in two phases that will impact the 2022-23 financial year.

### Russian investments

Following the Russian invasion of Ukraine that has led to the UK Government sanctions, Local Government Pension Scheme funds are being advised to consider the implications for their investment portfolios and discuss with their pools and asset managers what action should prudently be taken. The Moscow Stock Exchange closed on February 28 2022 and an apparent ban on western companies from selling Russian investments was imposed by prime minister Mikhail Mishustin has compounded investors' liquidity problems, with markets for Russian stocks and government bonds drying up.

Members as the Funds Trustees are expected to uphold their fiduciary duties, prioritising scheme returns and the proper payment of pensions. However, Members are allowed to consider ethical factors concerning investments, and can divest from problematic assets provided that this does not prove materially detrimental to the scheme. The Pensions Regulator has asked all schemes to ensure that their investments are aligned with the UK government's sanctions on Russia.

### New system implementation – Oracle Cloud Fusion

In October 2021, the Oracle Cloud Fusion system replaced the Council's Oracle EBS system used for financial, payroll and HR transactions.

## Our response

- As a firm, we are absolutely committed to audit quality and financial reporting in the local government sector. Our proposed work and fee, as set further in our Audit Plan, has been agreed with the Director of Finance.
- We will continue to provide you with sector updates via our Audit & Standards Committee updates.
- We will review the funds response to any exposure in Russian investments.
- We identified a significant audit risk relating to [the data migration to the new ledger - refer to page 7. Our IT auditors will review the Pension Fund's process for ensuring the data migration was complete and accurate.

# Introduction and headlines

## Purpose

This document provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory audit of London Borough of Brent Pension Fund ('the Pension Fund') for those charged with governance.

## Respective responsibilities

The National Audit Office ('the NAO') has issued a document entitled Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. Our respective responsibilities are also set out in the Terms of Appointment and Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), the body responsible for appointing us as your auditor. We draw your attention to both of these documents.

## Scope of our audit

The scope of our audit is set in accordance with the Code and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK). We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance (the Audit & Standards committee).

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Audit & Standards Committee of your responsibilities. It is the responsibility of the Pension Fund to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Pension Fund is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our audit approach is based on a thorough understanding of the Pension Fund's business and is risk based.

## Significant risks

Those risks requiring special audit consideration and procedures to address the likelihood of a material financial statement error have been identified as:

- Management override of controls
- Valuation of Level 3 Investments
- New finance system implementation

We will communicate significant findings on these areas as well as any other significant matters arising from the audit to you in our Audit Findings (ISA 260) Report.

## Materiality

We have determined planning materiality to be £10.31m (PY £10.3m) for the Pension Fund, which equates to 1% of your prior year net assets. We are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. Clearly trivial has been set at £0.5m (PY £0.5m).

## Audit logistics

Our interim visit took place in March 2022 and our final visit will take place between July and September 2022. Our key deliverables are this Audit Plan and our Audit Findings Report. Our audit approach and logistics are detailed in Pages 5 to 14.

Our fee for the audit will be £33,000 (PY: £33,000) for the Pension Fund, subject to the Pension Fund delivering a good set of financial statements and working papers.

We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

# Significant risks identified

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
<p>Presumed risk of fraud in revenue recognition</p> <p>ISA (UK) 240</p>	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240, there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.</p> <p>This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p> <p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Fund, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition</li> <li>- opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited</li> <li>- the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including London Borough of Brent Council and Pension Fund, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable</li> </ul> <p>Therefore, we do not consider this to be a significant risk for London Borough of Brent Pension Fund.</p>	Significant risk rebutted
Fraudulent expenditure recognition	<p>We have also considered the risk of material misstatement due to the fraudulent recognition of expenditure. We have considered each material expenditure area, and the control environment for accounting recognition.</p> <p>We are satisfied that this did not present a significant risk of material misstatement in the 2021/22 accounts as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the control environment around expenditure recognition (understood through our documented risk assessment understanding of your business processes) is considered to be strong</li> <li>- we have not found significant issues, errors or fraud in expenditure recognition in the prior years audits</li> <li>- our view is that, similarly to revenue, there is little incentive to manipulate expenditure recognition</li> </ul> <p>Therefore, we do not consider this to be a significant risk for London Borough of Brent Pension Fund.</p>	Significant risk rebutted

# Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Management Override of Controls	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240, there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management override of controls is present in all entities. The Pension Fund faces external scrutiny of its spending and its stewardship of its funds, this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates, and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk for the Pension Fund, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluate the design effectiveness of management controls over journals.</li> <li>• Analyse the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals.</li> <li>• Test unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration.</li> <li>• Gain an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence.</li> <li>• Evaluate the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.</li> </ul>
Valuation of Level 3 Investments	<p>You value your investments on an annual basis with the aim of ensuring that the carrying value of these investments is not materially different from their fair value at the balance sheet date.</p> <p>By their nature, Level 3 investment valuations lack observable inputs. These valuations therefore represent a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved (PY: £81m) and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>Under ISA 315, significant risks often relate to significant non-routine transactions and judgemental matters. Level 3 investments by their very nature require a significant degree of judgement to reach an appropriate valuation at year end.</p> <p>Management utilise the services of investment managers as valuation experts to estimate the fair value as at 31 March 2022.</p> <p>We therefore have identified Valuation of Level 3 Investments as a significant risk.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evaluate management's processes for valuing Level 3 investments;</li> <li>• review the nature and basis of estimated values and consider what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investments; to ensure that the requirements of the Code are met;</li> <li>• independently request year-end confirmations from investment managers and the custodian;</li> <li>• for a sample of investments, test the valuation by obtaining and reviewing the audited accounts, (where available) at the latest date for individual investments and agreeing these to the fund manager reports at that date. Reconcile those values to the values at 31 March 2022 with reference to known movements in the intervening period;</li> <li>• in the absence of available audited accounts, we will evaluate the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert; and</li> <li>• where available review investment manager service auditor report on design and operating effectiveness of internal controls.</li> </ul>

# Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
New system implementation	<p>In October 2021, the Oracle Cloud Fusion system replaced the Pension Fund's Oracle EBS system used for financial, payroll and HR transactions.</p> <p>Data migration is fundamental to any business technology transformation and there is a risk of error when data is moved from one system to another. New systems are often evolving and present a greater risk material misstatements.</p> <p>Given the nature of this transition, we have identified a risk of material misstatement in relation to the system migration, at financial statements level.</p>	<p>Work planned:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Our IT auditors will perform an assessment of the Council's processes and controls used as part of the transitioning from Oracle EBS to Oracle Cloud Fusion during the audit period.</li> <li>• We will perform detailed testing over security management, technology acquisition and infrastructure as well as development and maintenance.</li> <li>• Evaluate the design and implementation of controls management put in place to ensure balances at month six were moved correctly to month seven are complete and accurate.</li> <li>• Reconcile opening balances at month seven to closing balances at month six, including reconciliations of the general ledger, accounts payable, accounts receivable and assets.</li> </ul>



# Accounting estimates and related disclosures

The Financial Reporting Council issued an updated ISA (UK) 540 (revised): *Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures* which includes significant enhancements in respect of the audit risk assessment process for accounting estimates.

## Introduction

Under ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018) auditors are required to understand and assess an entity's internal controls over accounting estimates, including:

- The nature and extent of oversight and governance over management's financial reporting process relevant to accounting estimates;
- How management identifies the need for and applies specialised skills or knowledge related to accounting estimates;
- How the entity's risk management process identifies and addresses risks relating to accounting estimates;
- The entity's information system as it relates to accounting estimates;
- The entity's control activities in relation to accounting estimates; and
- How management reviews the outcomes of previous accounting estimates.

As part of this process auditors also need to obtain an understanding of the role of those charged with governance, which is particularly important where the estimates have high estimation uncertainty, or require significant judgement.

Specifically do Audit \$ Standards Committee members:

- Understand the characteristics of the methods and models used to make the accounting estimates and the risks related to them;
- Oversee management's process for making accounting estimates, including the use of models, and the monitoring activities undertaken by management; and
- Evaluate how management made the accounting estimates?





# Accounting estimates and related disclosures

## Additional information that will be required

To ensure our compliance with this revised auditing standard, we will be requesting further information from management and those charged with governance during our audit for the year ended 31 March 2022.

Based on our knowledge of the Pension Fund we have identified the following material accounting estimates for which this is likely to apply:

- Valuation of level 2 and level 3 investments
- Valuation of the Present Value of Future Retirement Benefits

## The Pension Fund's Information systems

In respect of the Pension Fund's information systems we are required to consider how management identifies the methods, assumptions and source data used for each material accounting estimate and the need for any changes to these. This includes how management selects, or designs, the methods, assumptions and data to be used and applies the methods used in the valuations.

When the models used include increased complexity or subjectivity, as is the case for many valuation models, auditors need to understand and assess the controls in place over the models and the data included therein. Where adequate controls are not in place we may need to report this as a significant control deficiency and this could affect the amount of detailed substantive testing required during the audit.

If management has changed the method for making an accounting estimate we will need to fully understand management's rationale for this change. Any unexpected changes are likely to raise the audit risk profile of this accounting estimate and may result in the need for additional audit procedures.

We are aware that the Pension Fund uses management experts in deriving some of its more complex estimates, e.g. asset and investment. However, it is important to note that the use of management experts does not diminish the responsibilities of management and those charged with governance to ensure that:

- All accounting estimates and related disclosures included in the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework, and are materially accurate;
- There are adequate controls in place at the Pension Fund (and where applicable its service provider or management expert) over the models, assumptions and source data used in the preparation of accounting estimates.



### Estimation uncertainty

Under ISA (UK) 540 we are required to consider the following:

- How management understands the degree of estimation uncertainty related to each accounting estimate; and
- How management address this estimation uncertainty when selecting their point estimate.

For example, how management identified and considered alternative, methods, assumptions or source data that would be equally valid under the financial reporting framework, and why these alternatives were rejected in favour of the point estimate used.

The revised standard includes increased emphasis on the importance of the financial statement disclosures. Under ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018), auditors are required to assess whether both the accounting estimates themselves and the related disclosures are reasonable.

Where there is a material uncertainty, that is where there is a significant risk of a material change to the estimated carrying value of an asset or liability within the next year, there needs to be additional disclosures. Note that not all material estimates will have a material uncertainty and it is also possible that an estimate that is not material could have a risk of material uncertainty.

Where there is material estimation uncertainty, we would expect the financial statement disclosures to detail:

- **What the assumptions and uncertainties are;**
- **How sensitive the assets and liabilities are to those assumptions, and why;**
- **The expected resolution of the uncertainty and the range of reasonably possible outcomes for the next financial year; and**
- **An explanation of any changes made to past assumptions if the uncertainty is unresolved.**

### Planning enquiries

As part of our planning risk assessment procedures we have requested that management provides detail as to how the Pension Fund addresses estimation uncertainty, and to share its responses with TCWG for consideration. We would appreciate a prompt response to these enquires in due course.

### Further information

Further details on the requirements of ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018) can be found in the auditing standard on the Financial Reporting Council's website:

[https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/0fa69c03-49ec-49ae-a8c9-cc7a2b65382a/ISA-\(UK\)-540\\_Revised-December-2018\\_final.pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/0fa69c03-49ec-49ae-a8c9-cc7a2b65382a/ISA-(UK)-540_Revised-December-2018_final.pdf)

# Other matters

## Other work

The Pension Fund is administered by London Borough of Brent (the 'Council'), and the Pension Fund's accounts form part of the Council's financial statements.

Therefore, as well as our general responsibilities under the Code of Practice a number of other audit responsibilities also follow in respect of the Pension Fund, such as:

- We read any other information published alongside the Council's financial statements to check that it is consistent with the Pension Fund financial statements on which we give an opinion and is consistent with our knowledge of the Authority.
- We consider our other duties under legislation and the Code, as and when required, including:
  - Giving electors the opportunity to raise questions about your 2021/22 financial statements, consider and decide upon any objections received in relation to the 2021/22 financial statements;
  - Issue of a report in the public interest or written recommendations to the Fund under section 24 of the Act, copied to the Secretary of State.
  - Application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 or for a judicial review under Section 31 of the Act; or
  - Issuing an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Act.
- We carry out work to satisfy ourselves on the consistency of the pension fund financial statements included in the pension fund annual report with the audited Fund accounts.

## Other material balances and transactions

Under International Standards on Auditing, "irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor shall design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance and disclosure". All other material balances and transaction streams will therefore be audited. However, the procedures will not be as extensive as the procedures adopted for the risks identified in this report.

# Materiality

## The concept of materiality

Materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law. Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

## Materiality for planning purposes

We have determined financial statement materiality based on a proportion of the net assets of the Pension Fund. In the prior year we used the same benchmark. Materiality at the planning stage of our audit is £10.31m (PY £10.3m), which equates to 1% of your prior year net assets as at 31 March 2022.

We reconsider planning materiality if, during the course of our audit engagement, we become aware of facts and circumstances that would have caused us to make a different determination of planning materiality.


## Matters we will report to the Audit Committee

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Audit Committee any unadjusted misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. Under ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with those charged with governance', we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 (UK) defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria. In the context of the Pension Fund, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £0.515m (PY £0.515m).

If management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Audit & Standards Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.

## Prior year net assets

£1,030.7m Pension Fund



# IT audit strategy

In accordance with ISA (UK) 315, we are required to obtain an understanding of the information systems relevant to financial reporting to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement. As part of this we obtain an understanding of the controls operating over relevant Information Technology (IT) systems i.e., IT general controls (ITGCs). Our audit will include completing an assessment of the design of ITGCs related to security management; technology acquisition, development and maintenance; and technology infrastructure. Based on the level of assurance required for each IT system the assessment may focus on evaluating key risk areas ('streamlined assessment') or be more in depth ('detailed assessment').

For this year's audit we have completed system documentation, and walkthroughs where relevant, for each of the Council's material systems both pre and post-Oracle Cloud Fusion implementation. Our IT audit team has completed work to review the processes and controls around the transition, complete ITGC review of Oracle Cloud Fusion, and review of reconciliations of all key systems between Oracle EBS and Oracle Cloud Fusion. During the financial statements audit the audit team will reconcile audit trails from both systems to the financial statements and we will conduct our testing on the full financial year's transactions requiring sampling from both Oracle EBS and Oracle Cloud Fusion.

The following IT systems have been judged to be in scope for our audit and based on the planned financial statement audit approach we will perform the indicated level of assessment:

IT system	Audit area	Planned level IT audit assessment
Oracle EBS	Financial reporting and integrated systems (accounts payable, accounts receivable and fixed assets)	IT audit work performed in respect of the 31 March 2021 year end will be rolled forward for the period 1 April to 14 October 2021.
LPPA - Altair	Pension Administration	Streamlined ITGC assessment

In addition, due to the significant changes during the period, specifically the new system implementation, additional audit procedures will be completed to address the additional risks of material misstatement identified.

IT system	Event	Relevant risks	Planned IT audit procedures
Oracle Cloud Fusion	New system implementation	Post migration data completeness and accuracy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Perform an assessment of the Pension Fund's processes and controls used as part of the transitioning from Oracle EBS to Oracle Cloud Fusion during the audit period.</li> <li>Perform detailed testing over security management, technology acquisition and infrastructure as well as development and maintenance.</li> <li>Evaluate the design and implementation of controls management put in place to ensure balances at month six were moved correctly to month seven are complete and accurate.</li> <li>Reconcile opening balances at month seven to closing balances at month six, including reconciliations of the general ledger, accounts payable, accounts receivable and assets.</li> </ul>

# Audit logistics and team



## Ciaran McLaughlin, Key Audit Partner

Ciaran is the Engagement Lead, for the Council and Pension Fund, leads the work performed on the audit. Signs the audit opinion and holds regular meetings with senior officers.



## Reshma Ravikumar, Audit Manager

Reshma will work with the senior members of the finance team ensuring early delivery of testing and agreement of accounting issues on a timely basis. Reshma will attend Audit & Standards Committee, undertake reviews of the team's work and draft reports, ensuring they remain clear, concise and understandable to all.



## Abisoye Ilaka, Audit In-Charge

Abisoye will lead the audit team and is the day-to-day contact for the audit. She will monitor the deliverables, manage the audit query log with your Finance Team and highlight any significant issues and adjustments to senior management in a timely manner.

## Audited body responsibilities

Where audited bodies do not deliver to the timetable agreed, we need to ensure that this does not impact on audit quality or absorb a disproportionate amount of time, thereby disadvantaging other audits. Where the elapsed time to complete an audit exceeds that agreed due to a client not meeting its obligations we will not be able to maintain a team on site. Similarly, where additional resources are needed to complete the audit due to a client not meeting their obligations we are not able to guarantee the delivery of the audit to the agreed timescales. In addition, delayed audits will incur additional audit fees.

## Our requirements

To minimise the risk of a delayed audit, you need to ensure that you:

- produce draft financial statements of good quality by the agreed timetable you have agreed with us, including all notes, the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement;
- ensure that good quality working papers are available at the start of the audit, in accordance with the working paper requirements schedule that we have shared with you;
- ensure that the agreed data reports are available to us at the start of the audit and are reconciled to the values in the accounts, in order to facilitate our selection of items for testing;
- ensure that all appropriate staff are available on site throughout (or as otherwise agreed) the planned period of the audit; and
- respond promptly and adequately to audit queries.



# Audit fees

In 2017, PSAA awarded a contract of audit for the London Borough of Brent to begin with effect from 2018/19. The fee agreed in the contract was £16,170. Since that time, there have been a number of developments, particularly in relation to the revised Code and ISA's which are relevant for the 2021/22 audit.

Across all sectors and firms, the FRC has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing, as detailed on page 8 in relation to the updated ISA (UK) 540 (revised): Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC with regard to audit quality and public sector financial reporting. We have engaged an audit expert to improve the level of assurance we require for property valuations estimates, which has been included in our proposed audit fee. Our proposed work and fee for 2021/22, as set out below, has been agreed with the Director of Finance.

	Actual Fee 2019/20	Actual Fee 2020/21	Proposed fee 2021/22
Brent Pension Fund Audit	£28,750	£33,000	£35,308
New system implementation	n/a	n/a	£2,500
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£28,750	£33,000	£37,808

## Assumptions

In setting the above fees, we have assumed that the Pension Fund will:

- prepare a good quality set of accounts, supported by comprehensive and well presented working papers which are ready at the start of the audit
- provide appropriate analysis, support and evidence to support all critical judgements and significant judgements made during the course of preparing the financial statements
- provide early notice of proposed complex or unusual transactions which could have a material impact on the financial statements.

## Relevant professional standards

In preparing our fee estimate, we have had regard to all relevant professional standards, including paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of the FRC's [Ethical Standard \(revised 2019\)](#) which stipulate that the Engagement Lead (Key Audit Partner) must set a fee sufficient to enable the resourcing of the audit with partners and staff with appropriate time and skill to deliver an audit to the required professional and Ethical standards.

---

# Independence and non-audit services

## Auditor independence

Ethical Standards and ISA (UK) 260 require us to give you timely disclosure of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm or covered persons, relating to our independence. We encourage you to contact us to discuss these or any other independence issues with us. We will also discuss with you if we make additional significant judgements surrounding independence matters.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (Revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements. Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Ethical Standard. For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council.

## Other services


No other services provided by Grant Thornton were identified.

Any changes and full details of all fees charged for audit related and non-audit related services by Grant Thornton UK LLP and by Grant Thornton International Limited network member Firms will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.

# Our digital audit experience

A key component of our overall audit experience is our comprehensive data analytics tool, which is supported by Inflo Software technology. This tool has a number of key functions within our audit process:

Function	Benefits for you
Data extraction	Providing us with your financial information is made easier
File sharing	An easy-to-use, ISO 27001 certified, purpose-built file sharing tool
Project management	Effective management and oversight of requests and responsibilities
Data analytics	Enhanced assurance from access to complete data populations



Grant Thornton's Analytics solution is supported by Inflo Software technology

# Our digital audit experience

A key component of our overall audit experience is our comprehensive data analytics tool, which is supported by Inflo Software technology. This tool has a number of key functions within our audit process:



## Data extraction

- Real-time access to data
- Easy step-by-step guides to support you upload your data



## File sharing

- Task-based ISO 27001 certified file sharing space, ensuring requests for each task are easy to follow
- Ability to communicate in the tool, ensuring all team members have visibility on discussions about your audit, reducing duplication of work



## Project management

- Facilitates oversight of requests
- Access to a live request list at all times



## Data analytics

- Relationship mapping, allowing understanding of whole cycles to be obtained quickly
- Visualisation of transactions, allowing easy identification of trends and anomalies

## How will analytics add value to your audit?

Analytics will add value to your audit in a number of ways. We see the key benefits of extensive use of data analytics within the audit process to be the following:

### Improved fraud procedures using powerful anomaly detection

Being able to analyse every accounting transaction across your business enhances our fraud procedures. We can immediately identify high risk transactions, focusing our work on these to provide greater assurance to you, and other stakeholders.

Examples of anomaly detection include analysis of user activity, which may highlight inappropriate access permissions, and reviewing seldom used accounts, which could identify efficiencies through reducing unnecessary codes and therefore unnecessary internal maintenance.

Another product of this is identification of issues that are not specific to individual postings, such as training requirements being identified for members of staff with high error rates, or who are relying on use of suspense accounts.

### More time for you to perform the day job

Providing all this additional value does not require additional input from you or your team. In fact, less of your time is required to prepare information for the audit and to provide supporting information to us.

Complete extracts from your general ledger will be obtained from the data provided to us and requests will therefore be reduced.

We provide transparent project management, allowing us to seamlessly collaborate with each other to complete the audit on time and around other commitments.

We will both have access to a dashboard which provides a real-time overview of audit progress, down to individual information items we need from each other. Tasks can easily be allocated across your team to ensure roles and responsibilities are well defined.

Using filters, you and your team will quickly be able to identify actions required, meaning any delays can be flagged earlier in the process. Accessible through any browser, the audit status is always available on any device providing you with the information to work flexibly around your other commitments.

